

CAN SAFEGUARDS MAKE ASSISTED DYING SAFE?

A briefing on the Assisted Dying for Terminally Ill Adults (Scotland) Bill

AUGUST 2025

On 13th May 2025, MSPs voted by 70 to 56 for The Assisted Dying for Terminally Ill Adults (Scotland) Bill to progress to Stage 2, where MSPs may make amendments, before proceeding to Stage 3 for a final debate and vote on the amended bill. This Bill was introduced by Liam McArthur, (Lib Dem MSP for the Orkney Islands) and is the third attempt to change the law in Scotland on assisted suicide since 2010. This briefing sets out the features of the Bill, the hazards it contains and the potential threats that it poses for the sick and the vulnerable.

WHAT DOES THE BILL PROPOSE?

The Bill seeks “to provide for the lawful provision to terminally ill adults of assistance to voluntarily end their own lives”.

WHO WOULD BE ELIGIBLE?

Any mentally capable adult, who is normally resident in Scotland, is registered with a medical practice and suffering from a “terminal” condition. According to the Bill: “a person is terminally ill if they have an advanced and progressive disease, illness or condition from which they are unable to recover and that can reasonably be expected to cause their premature death.”¹

Mr McArthur argues that, unlike previous proposals, his Bill only permits access for those who have an advanced and progressive terminal illness and are “in the end stages of life”. Despite this, the wording of his Bill has been criticised for being too vague. Under his definition of terminal illness, people with conditions such as type 1 diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, alcoholism or anorexia* could be considered eligible. There is no requirement for a terminal prognosis and nothing in the Bill specifies that only those in the end stages of life would be eligible.

WHAT SAFEGUARDS DOES THE BILL CONTAIN?

Procedure

The Bill lays out a procedure by which the “terminally ill adult” would request an assisted death. Subsection 15 summarises the procedure thus:

“The coordinating registered medical practitioner or an authorised health professional may, provided the conditions in subsections (2) and (3) are satisfied, provide a terminally ill adult with an approved substance with which the adult may end their own life. (2) The conditions are that—

- (a) the adult has made a first declaration which has not been cancelled,
- (b) the coordinating registered medical practitioner has carried out the assessment mentioned in section 6(2) and has made the statement mentioned in section 8(1),
- (c) the independent registered medical practitioner has carried out the assessment mentioned in section 6(4) and has made the statement mentioned in section 8(2), and
- (d) the adult has made a second declaration which has not been cancelled.”

A legal official may act as a proxy if patients are too physically impaired to sign the documents for themselves. Family members and cohabitants are not permitted to act as witnesses or proxies.

*Recognition of a subcategory of anorexia nervosa as a terminal condition was first proposed in 2022 by J Gaudiani, A Bogetz and J Yager, in “Terminal anorexia nervosa: three cases and proposed clinical characteristics” [2022] *J Eat Disorders*, 10:23. According to a 2024 article in *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 60 cases have been recorded in the USA and Europe in which patients with eating disorders underwent “assisted dying” between 2012 and 2024. See: Chelsea Roff and Catherine Cook-Cottone, “Assisted death in eating disorders: a systematic review of cases and clinical rationales,” [2024] *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15, 1431771.

This bureaucratic procedure is presented as a safeguard. However, no mechanism of accountability is described, and it prescribes no penalties for breaches of the system.

Age

The scheme is restricted to those aged 16 and older. Although it is illegal for anyone below the age of 18 to buy tobacco or alcohol in Scotland, under this scheme, someone as young as 16 with a “terminal” condition could be supplied with drugs to help them commit suicide. In this respect, Mr McArthur’s Bill is more radical than the law in Canada (one of the most permissive regimes in the world) which restricts assisted suicide to those aged 18 and older². Supporters of the Bill argue that it would prevent the assisted suicide of children. However, we know that in practice, girls under 16 who are considered to be mature enough to make their own medical decisions are routinely provided with birth control and abortion without their parents being informed.³ There is no reason to believe that similar pressure to treat mature children as adults couldn’t arise once the law had changed. In 2023, the age limit in the Netherlands, which was set at 12 years, was removed to accommodate so-called “mature minors”.⁴ Ill and disabled children in the Netherlands who are incapable of consenting to euthanasia can also be killed with the approval of their parents.

Coercion

The medical practitioners involved are required to assess whether the patient “made the declaration voluntarily and has not been coerced or pressured by any other person into making it”. However, this assumes that medical professionals will always make an accurate assessment of what is a non-medical matter. We know that some women seeking abortion do so under pressure.⁵ Women who have spoken about this experience have described how doctors failed to recognise that they were acting under coercion.⁶

Nor would the Bill’s bureaucratic approach protect patients from emotional manipulation or the undue influence of family members. Evidence from other jurisdictions shows that many people request assisted suicide because they do not wish to be a burden on their family or caregivers. In 2023, 43.3% of people in Oregon said they feared becoming a burden on family, friends and caregivers.⁷

How would the Bill affect doctors and their patients?

The majority of UK doctors, especially those working closely with dying patients, do not support assisted suicide. When last polled, 82% of members of the Association for Palliative Medicine of Great Britain & Ireland rejected the legalisation of assisted suicide⁸ and the Royal College of General Practitioners⁹ and the British Geriatrics Society remain opposed.¹⁰ A 2020 poll commissioned by the British Medical Association found that 76% of palliative care physicians opposed legalisation.¹¹ A 2019 survey from the Royal College of Physicians (RCP) put support at just 9%.¹² If it was legalised, most doctors caring for the terminally ill are unlikely to willingly participate in assisted suicide. The RCP survey showed only 24% of doctors were willing to prescribe lethal medication. Only 18% of doctors in geriatric medicine, 24% in medical oncology and 5% in palliative care stated that they would be willing to participate.¹³

Section 18 of Mr McArthur’s bill states that “An individual is not under any duty...to participate in anything authorised by this Act to which that individual has a conscientious objection.” In this vague assurance, the Bill purports to protect the conscience rights of doctors but it is less than honest about the difficulties in this area. In fact, the regulation of the medical profession is beyond the legislative competence of Holyrood. This legislation cannot, by itself, guarantee that doctors with a conscientious objection won’t be compelled to facilitate assisted suicide either through direct involvement or by referral. If passed it may even violate the conscience rights protected by Article 9 of the European Convention on Human Rights.¹⁴

A recent poll found that 60% of those surveyed worried that legalising assisted suicide would fundamentally change the relationship between doctors and patients.¹⁵ This included 51% of those who said they supported a change in the law. The same survey found that 43% of respondents feared that introducing assisted suicide when the health and social care budget is under serious pressure would inevitably place an incentive on the health service to encourage some people to end their lives early.

The 1949 International Code of Medical Ethics states: “A doctor must always bear in mind the obligation of preserving human life.”¹⁶ The World Medical Association condemns physician-assisted suicide¹⁷ and the American Medical Association considers it to be “fundamentally incompatible with the physician’s role as healer; would be difficult or impossible to control, and would pose serious societal risks.”¹⁸

WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE BILL BECOMES LAW?

We need only look at the experience of other countries to see what is likely to happen if the Bill becomes law.

1. Safeguards will gradually be removed

Once legalised, safeguards are seen as barriers and the criteria for assisted suicide are invariably expanded.

- Oregon and Vermont recently removed residency requirements.¹⁹
- Hawaii reduced its statutory waiting period from 20 days to five.²⁰ In California, this was reduced from 15 days to 48 hours,²¹ which may explain the surge in assisted suicides from 522 in 2021 to 853 in 2022.²²
- Since arguments for assisted suicide and voluntary euthanasia are so similar, its legalisation in some places has led to vulnerable groups like disabled infants or dementia patients, being euthanized even if they had not asked for death. Belgium and the Netherlands now permit the non-voluntary euthanasia of children. Reports from Belgium and Holland up until 2010 show that between 7% and 9% of all infant deaths involved active euthanasia by lethal injection.²³ In the Netherlands, the number of dementia patients euthanized rose from 12 in 2009 to 162 in 2019.²⁴

2. Palliative care will be undermined

Palliative care can significantly improve quality of life, alleviate physical symptoms and reduce depression.²⁵

Despite the claims to the contrary, legalising assisted suicide can undermine the provision of palliative care.

- In Belgium, healthcare facilities reluctant to practise assisted suicide have been threatened with the loss of public funding.²⁶ At some palliative care units medical personnel left because they felt that their function had been “reduced to preparing patients and their families for lethal injections”.²⁷
- In Canada, funding was withdrawn from several hospices that refused to participate in the country’s “Medical Assistance in Dying” (MAiD) scheme.²⁵ A 2020 study of palliative care found that MAiD had a negative impact on palliative care.²⁹ Clinicians described the conflict between maintaining MAiD eligibility and effective symptom control which compelled them to withhold medications that could alleviate their patient’s pain but might jeopardise legal eligibility for assisted suicide causing distress to both patients and providers.

3. There will be an increase in suicides generally

Before the Australian state of Victoria passed the Voluntary Assisted Dying Act in 2017, supporters claimed that it would reduce the number of unassisted suicides by at least one per week. However, research published in the *Journal of Ethics in Mental Health* showed that suicides among older people actually increased by more than 50%.³⁰

A 2015 study in the US found that assisted suicide was linked to a 6.3% increase in total suicides and a 14.5% increase in the over 65s.³¹ Changing the law was associated with “an increased inclination to suicide in others”. Data from Europe and the US indicate that following the introduction of assisted suicide, it is women, in particular, who have been placed at the greatest risk of avoidable premature death from an increase in rates of unassisted suicide.³² Contrary to the claims of the assisted suicide lobby, more people are likely to take “matters into their own hands with tragic consequences” when the law is changed.

4. The elderly and disabled feel increasingly vulnerable

Advocates of assisted dying claim it is not a threat to the disabled, yet many disabled people fear being pressured to end their lives. While disabled people are not usually terminally ill, the terminally ill are often disabled.³³ Legalising assisted suicide sends a message that disabled people facing these issues are right to want to die. It means that people who are considered healthy will receive suicide intervention, while the sick or disabled will receive suicide assistance. It would create a two-tiered system with the less valued group encouraged to die.³⁴ That is why Scope, Mencap and the Veterans Association UK oppose a change in the law.³⁵

Action on Elder Abuse is also against legalisation. It is estimated that between 7% and 9% of older people in Scotland are victims of at least one form of abuse, with over 40% of victims suffering more than one kind of abuse.³⁶ A recent report found that more than a third of older people in Scotland feel that they are a burden to society, while 34% felt that life was getting worse for older people.³⁷ In such an atmosphere, older people are vulnerable to feeling pressured to end their lives prematurely.

CONCLUSION

Mr McArthur believes his scheme would allow people to end their own lives in a way that is “safe, controlled and transparent” while respecting personal autonomy. What he has proposed, however, is not safe, is wide open to expansion and far from transparent. Mr McArthur claims his proposal contains strict safeguards. Sadly, this is not the case. The safeguards in the Bill rely on a bureaucratic procedure but there is no mechanism of accountability and it prescribes no penalties for breaches of the system.

For further information, please contact Liam Gibson on liamgibson@spuc.org.uk, or 07984 990 688

ENDNOTES

1. Assisted Dying for Terminally Ill Adults (Scotland) Bill[AS INTRODUCED], <https://www.parliament.scot/-/media/files/legislation/bills/s6-bills/assisted-dying-for-terminally-ill-adults-scotland-bill/introduction/bill-as-introduced.pdf>
2. <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/cj-jp/ad-am/bk-di.html#:~:text=be%2018%20years%20of%20age,the%20result%20of%20external%20pressure.3>
3. “Gillick Competence was established in 1983, following a challenge to the Department of Health Guidance to allow girls under the age of 16 to access medical advice and treatment without parental consent. Although the original question was around the use of contraception, the ruling covers a child’s own medical treatment without their parents knowing or giving permission.” — “Gillick Competence and the Fraser Guidelines”, Mental Capacity, 2 February 2022. <https://mental-capacity.co.uk/gillick-competence-fraser-guidelines/>
4. “Dutch To Allow Euthanasia For Under-12s”, (Agence France Presse, 14 April 2023) <https://www.barrons.com/news/dutch-to-allow-euthanasia-for-under-12s-5a63973a>
5. A 2022 SavantaComRes poll of 1,060 women based in the UK found that 15% of women aged 18-44 had been pressured into having an abortion they didn’t want. <https://savanta.com/knowledge-centre/poll/reproductive-coercion-poll-bbc-radio-4-8-march-2022/>
6. See: SPUC Pro-Life, “Abortion and Coercion: A Briefing”, September 2023. <https://www.spuc.org.uk/Portals/0/ThemePluginPro/uploads/2023/10/30/Abortion%20and%20Coercion%20briefing%20Sept%202023.pdf>
7. Oregon Health Authority, Public Health Division, Center for Health Statistics, Oregon Death with Dignity Act, 2023 Data Summary, 20 March 2024. <http://www.healthoregon.org/dwd>
8. Association for Palliative Medicine of Great Britain & Ireland Physician Assisted Dying Web Materials, ‘The Association for Palliative Medicine (APM) Web Materials On Actively and Intentionally Ending Life (Variously Called ‘Assisted Suicide’, ‘Assisted Dying’, ‘Aid in Dying’ And ‘Euthanasia’) Polling on the Opinion of Doctors. (Variously Called ‘Assisted Suicide’, ‘Assisted Dying’, ‘Aid in Dying’ And ‘Euthanasia’) Polling on the Opinion of Doctors.
9. Royal College of General Practitioners, “Assisted Dying, RCGP’s 2020 decision”
10. British Geriatrics Society, “Physician Assisted Suicide,” 10 July 2015.
11. BMA Survey on Physician-Assisted Dying, Research Report 2020.
12. Royal College of Physicians, *Assisted dying survey 2019 results*.
13. *Ibid*
14. Mary Neal, “‘Protection’ for conscience in the assisted dying bill – a wing and a prayer, or smoke and mirrors?” *Scottish Legal News*, (9 April 2024) <https://www.scottishlegal.com/articles/mary-neal-protection-for-conscience-in-the-assisted-dying-bill-a-wing-and-a-prayer-or-smoke-and-mirrors>
15. Living and Dying Well, “Assisted dying: too many ‘complicating factors’ to be safely implemented, says British public in new poll”. Whitestone Insight surveyed 2001 GB adults online between 5 and 6 June 2024. <https://livinganddyingwell.org.uk/assisted-dying-too-many-complicating-factors-to-be-safely-implemented-says-british-public-in-new-poll/>
16. International Code of Medical Ethics, Third General Assembly, World Medical Association, 1949
17. WMA Declaration of Venice on Terminal Illness WMA General Assembly, Pilanesberg, South Africa, October 2006, *Handbook of WMA Policies D-1983-01-2006*
18. AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 5.7.
19. Gene Johnson, ‘Oregon Ends Residency Rule for Medically Assisted Suicide’ 28 March 2022, (Associated Press)
20. Hawaii State Legislature, HB650 HD2 SD1 Kupuna Caucus; Our Care, Our Choice Act; Medical-Aid-In-Dying; Advanced Practice Registered Nurses; Clinical Nurse Specialists; Marriage and Family Therapists; Mandatory Waiting Period; Waiver https://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/sessions/session2023/bills/HB650_SD1_.pdf
21. California End of Life Option Act 2022 Data Report, July 2023
22. *ibid*
23. Gregory K Pike, *Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide – When Choice is an Illusion and Informed Consent Fails*, 2020
24. Regional Euthanasia Review Committees RTE Annual Report 2019
25. Jennifer Temel et al, ‘Early Palliative Care for Patients with Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer’ (2010) *New Eng J Med*, 363: 733-42 <https://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa1000678>
26. D A Jones et al, (eds) *Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide: Lessons from Belgium* (CUP 2017) 40
27. Benoit Beuselinck, 2002–2016: Fourteen Years of Euthanasia in Belgium: First-Line Observations by an Oncologist in Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide: Lessons from Belgium p 106
28. L Harding, ‘Delta Hospice Society envisions new private MAiD free facility.’ *Western Standard*, 18 July 2021
29. Jean Jacob Mathews, et al, ‘Impact of Medical Assistance in Dying on palliative care: A qualitative study,’ (2021) *Palliative Medicine* 35(2) pp 447–54.
30. David Albert Jones, ‘Did the Voluntary Assisted Dying Act 2017 Prevent “at least one suicide every week”?’ 21 December 2023 *Journal of Ethics in Mental Health*, 11, pp 1-11
31. D Jones, D Paton, “How Does Legalization of Physician-Assisted Suicide Affect Rates of Suicide?” (2015) *S Med J*, 108, 10 pp 599-604
32. David A Jones, ‘Euthanasia, Assisted Suicide, and Suicide Rates in Europe’, (2022) *J Ethics in Mental Health*, 11, pp 1-35, p 28
33. Not Dead Yet, *Disability Rights Toolkit for Advocacy Against Legalization of Assisted Suicide*.
34. Diane Coleman, ‘Not Dead Yet’, in *The Case against Assisted Suicide: For the Right to End-of-Life Care*, (K Foley & H Hendin (eds), (John Hopkins University Press, 2002), 221.
35. Care Not Killing, ‘Charity chiefs denounce bill,’ 17 July 2014
36. Age Concern Scotland, Elder Abuse. <https://www.ageconcernscotland.org.uk/elder-abuse/>
37. Age Scotland, The Big Survey 2021, https://www.ageuk.org.uk/globalassets/age-scotland/documents/policy_and-research/high-4967-scotinfrom-age-scotland-big-survey---full-report.pdf [accessed 31 July 2024]

